

**AN ADDITIVE FOR INCREASING THE DENSITY OF AN OIL-BASED FLUID  
AND FLUID COMPRISING SUCH ADDITIVE**

This is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/230,302, filed 9 October, 1999, co-pending which in turn is the U.S. national phase of International Application No. PCT/EP97/03802, filed July 16, 1997 which in turns claims priority to United Kingdom Application No. GB 9615549.4, filed July 24, 1996.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

One of the most important functions of a wellbore fluid is to contribute to the stability of the well bore, and control the flow of gas, oil or water from the pores of the formation in order to prevent, for example, the flow or blow out of formation fluids or the collapse of pressured earth formations. The column of fluid in the hole exerts a hydrostatic pressure proportional to the depth of the hole and the density of the fluid. High-pressure formations may require a fluid with a specific gravity of up to 3.0.

A variety of materials are presently used to increase the density of wellbore fluids. These include dissolved salts such as sodium chloride, calcium chloride and calcium bromide. Alternatively powdered minerals such as barite, calcite and hematite are added to a fluid to form a suspension of increased density. It is also known to utilize finely divided metal such as iron as a weight material. In this connection, the literature discloses a drilling fluid where the weight material includes iron/steel ball-shaped particles having a diameter less than 250 $\mu$ m and preferentially between 15 and 75 $\mu$ m. It has also been proposed to use finely powdered calcium or iron carbonate however the difficulty is that the plastic viscosity of such fluids rapidly increases as the particle size decreases.

It is a requirement of wellbore fluids that the particles form a stable suspension, and do not readily settle out. A second requirement is that the suspension should exhibit a low viscosity in order to facilitate pumping and to minimize the generation of high pressures. Another requirement is that the wellbore fluid slurry should exhibit low filtration rates (fluid loss).

Conventional weighting agents such as powdered barite exhibit an average particle diameter ( $d_{50}$ ) in the range of 10-30 $\mu$ m. To suspend these materials adequately requires the addition of a gellant such as bentonite for water-based fluids, or organically

1 modified bentonite for oil based fluids. A soluble polymer viscosifier such as xanthan  
2 gum may be also added to slow the rate of the sedimentation of the weighting agent.  
3 However, a penalty is paid in that as more gellant is added to increase the suspension  
4 stability, the fluid viscosity (plastic viscosity) increases undesirably resulting in reduced  
5 pumpability. This is obviously also the case if a viscosifier is used to maintain a desirably  
6 level of solids suspension.

7 The sedimentation (or "sag") of particulate weighting agents becomes more  
8 critical in wellbores drilled at high angles from the vertical, in that sag of, for example,  
9 one inch (2.54 cm) can result in a continuous column of reduced density fluid along the  
10 upper portion of the wellbore wall. Such high angle wells are frequently drilled over large  
11 distances in order to access, for example, remote portions of an oil reservoir. In such  
12 instances it is important to minimize a drilling fluid's plastic viscosity in order to reduce  
13 the pressure losses over the borehole length. At the same time a high density also should  
14 be maintained to prevent a blow out. Further, as noted above with particulate weighting  
15 materials the issues of sag become increasingly important to avoid differential sticking or  
16 the settling out of the particulate weighting agents on the low side of the wellbore.

17 Being able to formulate a drilling fluid having a high density and a low plastic  
18 viscosity is no less important in deep high pressure wells where high-density wellbore  
19 fluids are required. High viscosities can result in an increase in pressure at the bottom of  
20 the hole under pumping conditions. This increase in "Equivalent Circulating Density"  
21 can result in opening fractures in the formation, and serious losses of the wellbore fluid  
22 into the fractured formation. Again, however, the stability of the suspension is important  
23 in order to maintain the hydrostatic head to avoid a blow out. The objectives of high-  
24 density fluids with low viscosity plus minimal sag of weighting material can be difficult  
25 to reconcile. The need therefore exists for materials to increase fluid density that  
26 simultaneously provide improved suspension stability and less viscosity increase.

#### 27 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

28 The claimed subject matter is generally directed to a drilling fluid additive and a  
29 method of making the additive for increasing the density of a fluid while at the same time  
30 maintaining a useful suspension stability without a significant viscosity increase. In one

illustrative embodiment, the method includes comminuting a solid material and a dispersant in a liquid medium, so as to produce solid colloidal particles that are coated with the dispersant. Preferably the colloidal particles have a weight average particle diameter ( $D_{50}$ ) of less than about 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and more preferably less than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . The liquid medium is preferably an oleaginous fluid and more preferably an oleaginous liquid that is environmentally acceptable as the continuous phase of an oil based drilling fluid. In order to achieve an optimal and safe grinding process the oleaginous fluid preferably has a kinematic viscosity less than 10 centistokes ( $10 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ ) at  $40^\circ \text{C}$  and a flash point of greater than  $60^\circ \text{C}$ . Illustrative examples of such oleaginous fluids include diesel oil, mineral or white oils, n-alkanes or synthetic oils such as alpha-olefin oils, ester oils or poly(alpha-olefins), as well as combinations and mixtures of these and similar fluids which should be known to one of skill in the art. The dispersant that is coated onto the solid particle during the course of grinding is, in one illustrative embodiment, selected from carboxylic acids of molecular weight of at least 150 Daltons. Alternatively, the dispersant coating may be made of compounds including oleic acid, polybasic fatty acids, alkylbenzene sulfonic acids, alkane sulfonic acids, linear alpha-olefin sulfonic acid or the alkaline earth metal salts of any of the above acids, and phospholipids as well as mixtures and combinations of these compounds. In another alternative and illustrative embodiment the dispersant is a polymeric compound, preferably a polyacrylate ester. The illustrative polymeric dispersant should have an average molecular weight from about 10,000 Daltons to about 200,000 Daltons and more preferably from about 17,000 Daltons to about 30,000 Daltons. The solid material may be selected from a wide variety of known weighting materials and in one illustrative embodiment the solid material is selected from the group consisting of barite, calcium carbonate, dolomite, ilmenite, hematite or other iron ores, olivine, siderite, and strontium sulfate, mixtures and combinations of these and similar weighting materials that should be known to one of skill in the art. In one preferred illustrative embodiment, the comminuting of the solid material and the dispersant in the liquid medium is carried out in an agitated fluidized bed of a particulate grinding material.

1        These and other features of the claimed subject matter are more fully set forth in  
2 the following description of preferred or illustrative embodiments of the invention.

### 4                    **DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS**

5        It is known in the art that reduced particle sedimentation rates can be obtained by  
6 reducing the particle size used. However, the conventional view in the drilling industry is  
7 that reducing the particle size causes an undesirable increase in viscosity. The rapid  
8 increase in viscosity as particle size decreases is believed to be caused by an increase in  
9 the surface area of the particles causing increased adsorption of water onto the surface of  
10 the particles. As reported in, "Drilling and Drilling Fluids" Chilingarian G.V. and  
11 Vorabutor P. 1981, pages 441 – 444, "The difference in results (i.e. increase in plastic  
12 viscosity) when particle size is varied in a mud slurry is primarily due to magnitude of the  
13 surface area, which determines the degree of adsorption (tying up) of water. More water  
14 is adsorbed with increasing area." Further it is also stated "Viscosity considerations often  
15 will not permit the addition of any more of the colloidal solids necessary to control  
16 filtration, unless the total solids surface area is first reduced by removing a portion of the  
17 existing clays". Thus it is reported in the literature that colloidal fines, because of their  
18 high surface area to volume ratio, will adsorb significantly more drilling fluid than and  
19 larger particles. Because of this high absorption of drilling fluid to the surface of the  
20 particle, an increase in the viscosity (i.e. a decrease in the fluidity) of the mud is observed.  
21 For these reasons, one of skill in the art should understand and appreciate that it is  
22 necessary in weighted particulate muds to remove the fine solids to reduce the viscosity  
23 increase cause by such fine particles. This concept is reflected in the API specification  
24 for barite as a drilling fluid additive. As indicated in the API specification the %w/w of  
25 particles having a diameter below 6  $\mu\text{m}$  is limited to a 30% w/w maximum in order to  
26 minimize viscosity increases.

27        In view of the above, one of skill in the art should immediately appreciate and  
28 understand that it is very surprising that the products of this invention, which utilize  
29 particles ground to an average particle diameter ( $d_{50}$ ) of less than 2  $\mu\text{m}$ , provide wellbore

1 fluids of reduced plastic viscosity while at the same time greatly reducing sedimentation  
2 or sag.

3 The additives of this invention comprise solid colloidal particles with a  
4 defloculating agent or dispersant coated onto the surface of the particle. The fine particle  
5 size generates high density suspensions or slurries that show a reduced tendency to  
6 sediment or sag, while the dispersant on the surface of the particle controls the inter-  
7 particle interactions resulting in lower rheological profiles. Thus it is the combination of  
8 high density, fine particle size and control of colloidal interactions by surface coating the  
9 particles with a dispersant that reconciles the objectives of high density, lower viscosity  
10 and minimal sag.

11 One of skill in the art will appreciate and understand that the use of small particles  
12 in drilling fluids is well known in the art, but for a totally different purpose. For example,  
13 in EP-A-119 745 an high-density fluid for blow-out prevention is disclosed that contains  
14 water, a first and possibly second weighting agent and a gellant made of fine particles  
15 (average diameter from 0.5 to 10 $\mu$ m). The gelling agent particles are small enough to  
16 impart static gel strength to the fluid by virtue of the interparticle attractive forces. One  
17 of skill in the art should also appreciate that if the concentration of weighting agent is  
18 sufficiently low, no gelling agent is needed in the fluids of EP-A-119 745. Thus, the small  
19 particle size imparts to the fluids of EP-A 119 745 the viscosifier properties that result  
20 from the high surface area to volume ratio of the small particles. The teachings of the  
21 EP-A-119 745 reference and other similar references are exactly opposite to those of the  
22 claimed subject matter. That is to say, the teachings of the prior art indicate that small  
23 particle size material can be added to a drilling fluid, but that doing so increases the  
24 viscosity of the drilling fluid. In contrast, the surprising results of the claimed subject  
25 matter is that one can add very fine particulate material that is coated with a dispersant  
26 layer and not have the rapid increases in viscosity exhibited by the prior art.

27 According to the claimed subject matter, a dispersant is coated onto the particulate  
28 weighting additive during the comminution (grinding) process. That is to say, coarse  
29 weighting additive is ground in the presence of a relatively high concentration of  
30 dispersant such that the newly formed surfaces of the fine particles are exposed to and

1 thus coated by the dispersant. It is speculated that this allows the dispersant to find an  
2 acceptable conformation on the particle surface thus coating the surface. Alternatively it  
3 is speculated that because a relatively higher concentration of dispersant in the grinding  
4 fluid, as opposed to that in a drilling fluid, the dispersant is more likely to be absorbed  
5 (either physically or chemically) to the particle surface. As that term is used in herein,  
6 "coating of the surface" is intended to mean that a sufficient number of dispersant  
7 molecules are absorbed (physically or chemically) or otherwise closely associated with  
8 the surface of the particles so that the fine particles of material do not cause the rapid rise  
9 in viscosity observed in the prior art. By using such a definition, one of skill in the art  
10 should understand and appreciate that the dispersant molecules may not actually be fully  
11 covering the particle surface and that quantification of the number of molecules is very  
12 difficult. Therefore by necessity reliance is made on a results oriented definition. As a  
13 result of the inventive process, Applicants have discovered that one can control the  
14 colloidal interactions of the fine particles by coating the particle with dispersants prior to  
15 addition to the drilling fluid. By doing so, it is possible to systematically control the  
16 rheological properties of fluids containing in the additive as well as the tolerance to  
17 contaminants in the fluid in addition to enhancing the fluid loss (filtration) properties of  
18 the fluid.

19 Evidence in support of the results oriented definition above can be found in the  
20 working examples below as well as the prior art. As is well know to one of skill in the  
21 art, in the absence of the coating dispersant, a concentrated slurry of particles having a  $d_{50}$   
22 of less than 2  $\mu\text{m}$ , will result in an unpumpable paste or gel. According to the method  
23 and compositions of the claimed subject matter, a dispersant is coated onto the particle  
24 surface during the grinding or comminution process. This provides an advantageous  
25 improvement in the state of dispersion of the particles compared to post addition of the  
26 dispersant to fine particles. The presence of the dispersant in the comminution process  
27 yields discrete particles which can form a more efficiently packed filter cake and so  
28 advantageously reduce filtration rates:

1 According to one illustrative embodiment, the dispersant is chosen so that it  
2 provides the suitable colloidal inter-particle interaction mechanism to make it tolerant to  
3 a range of common wellbore contaminants, including salt saturation.

4 According to a preferred embodiment of the claimed subject matter, the weighting  
5 agent of the claimed subject matter is formed of particles that are composed of a material  
6 of specific gravity of at least 2.68. This allows wellbore fluids to be formulated to meet  
7 most density requirements yet have a particulate volume fraction low enough for the fluid  
8 to be pumpable.

9 A preferred embodiment of this invention is for the weight average particle  
10 diameter ( $d_{50}$ ) of the new weighting agent to be less than 1.5 micron. This will enhance  
11 the suspension's characteristics in terms of sedimentation or sag stability without the  
12 viscosity of the fluid increasing so as to make it unpumpable.

13 A method of comminuting a solid material to obtain material containing at least  
14 60% by weight of particles smaller than  $2\mu\text{m}$  is known for example from British Patent  
15 Specification No 1,472,701 or No 1,599,632. As is taught therein, the coarse mineral in  
16 an aqueous suspension is ground within an agitated fluidized bed of a particulate grinding  
17 medium for a time sufficient to provide the required particle size distribution. The same  
18 process of grinding can be carried out by substituting an oleaginous (oil) based fluid for  
19 the aqueous based fluid. An important preferred embodiment aspect of the claimed  
20 subject matter is the presence of the dispersing agent in the step of "wet" grinding the  
21 mineral.

22 The colloidal particles may be provided as a concentrated slurry either in an  
23 aqueous medium or more preferably as an organic liquid. In the latter case, the organic  
24 liquid should be acceptable as a component and have the necessary environmental  
25 characteristics required for additives to oil-based drilling fluids. With this in mind it is  
26 preferred that the oleaginous fluid have a kinematic viscosity of less than 10 centistokes  
27 ( $10\text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ ) at  $40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and, for safety reasons, a flash point of greater than  $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . Suitable  
28 oleaginous liquids are for example diesel oil, mineral or white oils, n-alkanes or synthetic  
29 oils such as alpha-olefin oils, ester oils or poly(alpha-olefins), mixtures of these fluids as

1 well as other similar fluids which should be well known to one of skill in the art of  
2 drilling fluid formulation.

3 When the colloidal particles are provided in an aqueous medium, the dispersing  
4 agent may be, for example, a water soluble polymer of molecular weight of at least 2,000  
5 Daltons. The polymer is a homopolymer or copolymer of any monomers selected from  
6 (but not limited to) the class comprising: acrylic acid, itaconic acid, maleic acid or  
7 anhydride, hydroxypropyl acrylate vinylsulphonic acid, acrylamido 2-propane sulphonic  
8 acid, acrylamide, styrene sulphonic acid, acrylic phosphate esters, methyl vinyl ether and  
9 vinyl acetate. The acid monomers may also be neutralised to a salt such as the sodium  
10 salt.

11 It is known that high molecular weight polymers act as flocculants by bridging  
12 between particles while low molecular weight polymers for instance (less than 10,000)  
13 act as deflocculants by creating overall negative charges.

14 It has been found that when the dispersing agent is added while grinding,  
15 intermediate molecular weight polymers (in the range 10,000 to 200,000 for example)  
16 may be used effectively. Intermediate molecular weight dispersing agents are  
17 advantageously less sensitive to contaminants such as salt and therefore are well adapted  
18 to wellbore fluids.

19 Where the colloidal particles are provided in an organic medium, the dispersing  
20 agent may be selected for example among carboxylic acids of molecular weight of at least  
21 150 such as oleic acid and polybasic fatty acids, alkylbenzene sulphonic acids, alkane  
22 sulphonic acids, linear alpha-olefin sulphonic acid or the alkaline earth metal salts of any  
23 of the above acids, phospholipids such as lecithin, as well as similar compounds that  
24 should be readily apparent to one of skill in the art. Synthetic polymers may also be  
25 utilized such as Hypermer OM-1 (trademark of ICI) or alternatively polyacrylate esters.  
26 However, one of skill in the art should appreciate that other acrylate monomers may be  
27 used to achieve substantially the same results as disclosed herein. The illustrative  
28 polymeric dispersant should have an average molecular weight from about 10,000  
29 Daltons to about 200, 000 Daltons and more preferably from about 17,000 Daltons to  
30 about 30,000 Daltons.



1       The colloidal particles are themselves composed of weighting materials that are  
2 well known to one of skill in the art of weighting drilling fluids. In one illustrative  
3 embodiment, the particles are made from one or more materials selected from but not  
4 limited to barium sulphate (barite), calcium carbonate, dolomite, ilmenite, hematite or  
5 other iron ores, olivine, siderite, strontium sulphate. Normally the lowest wellbore fluid  
6 viscosity at any particular density is obtained by using the highest density colloidal  
7 particles. However other considerations may influence the choice of product such as cost,  
8 local availability and the power required for grinding. Minerals such as calcium  
9 carbonate and dolomite possess the advantage that residual solids or filter cake may be  
10 readily removed from a well by treatment with acids.

11       The compositions resulting from the methods of the claimed subject matter have a  
12 surprising variety of applications in drilling fluids, cement, high density fluids and coiled  
13 tubing drilling fluids to highlight a few. The new particulate weighting agents have the  
14 ability to stabilize the laminar flow regime, and delay the onset of turbulence. It is  
15 possible to formulate fluids for several applications including coiled tubing drilling fluids  
16 that will be able to be pumped faster before turbulence is encountered, so giving  
17 essentially lower pressure drops at equivalent flow rates. This ability to stabilize the  
18 laminar flow regime although surprising, is adequately demonstrated in heavy density  
19 muds of 20 pounds per gallon ( $2.39 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ) or higher. Such high density muds using  
20 conventional weighting agents with a weight average particle diameter of 10 to 30  $\mu\text{m}$   
21 would exhibit dilatancy with the concomitant increase in the pressure drops due to the  
22 turbulence generated. The ability of the new weighting agent to stabilize the flow regime  
23 even in the presence of a component of larger particles means that high-density fluids  
24 with acceptable rheology are feasible with lower pressure drops.

25       A further and unexpected application occurs in cement whereby the new  
26 weighting agent will generate slurries of a more controlled and lower rheology thus  
27 allowing the slurry to be pumped more freely into position. One of skill in the art should  
28 appreciate that the reduced particle size will tend to have a less abrasive nature, while its  
29 suspension characteristics will reduce the free water and other suspension issues  
30 encountered when setting the cement. The high fraction of fines should also act as

1 efficient fluid loss control agents thus preventing gas migration and producing stronger  
2 cements.

3 The fluids of the claimed subject matter may also be used in non-oilfield  
4 applications such as dense media separating fluid (to recover ore for example) or as a  
5 ship's ballast fluid.

6 The following examples are to illustrate the properties and performance of the  
7 wellbore fluids of the claimed subject matter though the invention is not limited to the  
8 specific embodiments showing these examples. All testing was conducted as per API RP  
9 13 B where applicable. Mixing was performed on Silverson L2R or Hamilton Beach  
10 Mixers. The viscosity at various shear rates (RPM's) and other rheological properties  
11 were obtained using a Fann viscometer. Mud weight were checked using a standard mud  
12 scale or an analytical balance. Fluid loss was measured with a standard API fluid loss  
13 cell.

14 In expressing a metric equivalent, the following U.S. to metric conversion factors  
15 are used: 1 gal = 3.785 litres ; 1 lb. = 0.454 kg ; 1 lb./gal (ppg)=0.1198 g/cm<sup>3</sup> ; 1 bbl=42  
16 gal ; 1 lb./bbl (ppb)=2.835 kg/m<sup>3</sup> ; 1 lb/100ft<sup>2</sup>=0.4788 Pa.

17 These tests have been carried out with different grades of barite: a standard grade  
18 of API barite, having a weight average particle diameter ( $D_{50}$ ) of about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ; a  
19 commercial barite (M) made by milling/grinding barite whilst in the dry state, with an  
20 average size of 3  $\mu\text{m}$ -5  $\mu\text{m}$  and colloidal barite according the claimed subject matter  
21 (with a  $D_{50}$  from 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  to 1.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ), with a dispersant included during the "wet" grinding  
22 process. The corresponding particle size distributions are shown figure 1. The dispersant  
23 is IDSPERSE<sup>TM</sup> XT (Mark of Schlumberger), an anionic acrylic ter-polymer of molecular  
24 weight in the range 40,000 to 120,000 with carboxylate and other functional groups. This  
25 preferred polymer is advantageously stable at temperature up to 200 °C, tolerant to a  
26 broad range of contaminant, gives good filtration properties and do not readily desorb off  
27 the particle surface.

28 Samples were measured on a Malvern microplus instrument using he presentation  
29 (optical model) RI (particle 1.61; absorption 0.1; RI (Dispersant) 1.46. The analysis was  
30 done using a drop of the ground material in an oil dispersant.

The following examples are included to demonstrate preferred embodiments of the invention. It should be appreciated by those of skill in the art that the techniques disclosed in the examples which follow represent techniques discovered by the inventors to function well in the practice of the invention, and thus can be considered to constitute preferred modes for its practice. However, those of skill in the art should, in light of the present disclosure, appreciate that many changes can be made in the specific embodiments which are disclosed and still obtain a like or similar result without departing from the scope of the invention.

#### Example 1

Several 22 ppg [ $2.63 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ] fluids, based on barium sulphate and water, were prepared using standard barite and colloidal barite according to the invention. The 22 ppg slurry of API grade barite and water was made with no gelling agent to control the inter-particle interactions (Fluid #1). Fluid #2 is also based on standard barite but with a post-addition of two pounds per barrel (5.7 kilograms per cubic metre) IDSPERSE XT. Fluid #3 is 100% new weighting agent with 67% w/w of particles below 1 micron in size and at least 90% less than  $2 \mu\text{m}$ . The results are provided in table I.

**Table I**

#	Viscosity at various shear rates (rpm of agitation) : Dial reading or "Fann Units" for :						Plastic Viscosity	Yield Point
	600 rpm	300 rpm	200 rpm	100 rpm	6 rpm	3 rpm		
							mPa.s	lb/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)
1	250	160	124	92	25	16	90	70 (34)
2	265	105	64	26	1	1	160	-55 (-26)
3	65	38	27	17	3	2	27	11 (5)

Upon review of the above data one of skill in the art should appreciate that the viscosity of Fluid #1 is very high and the slurry was observed to filter very rapidly. It should also be appreciated that if further materials were added to reduce the fluid loss, the viscosity would increase yet further. Also notable is that this system sags significantly over one hour giving substantial free water (ca. 10% of original volume).

1       The post addition of two pounds per barrel [ $5.7 \text{ kg/cm}^3$ ] of IDSPERSE XT to this  
2 system (Fluid #2) appears to reduce the low shear rate viscosity by controlling the inter-  
3 particle interactions. However it will be noted that because of the particle concentration  
4 and average particle size, the fluid exhibits dilatency, which is indicated by the high  
5 plastic viscosity and negative yield point. It should be appreciated that this will result in  
6 substantial pressure drops during the pumping of these fluids. Further it should be noted  
7 that Fluid #2 sags immediately on standing.

8       One of skill in the art should note that with regard to Fluid #3, the fluid exhibits a  
9 substantially lower plastic viscosity when compared to Fluids #1 and #2. The presence of  
10 the dispersing agent coated onto the particles appears to control the inter-particle  
11 interactions, thus making fluid #3 pumpable and not gel-like. It should also be  
12 appreciated that the much lower average particle size has stabilized the flow regime.  
13 That is to say a review of the data will reveal that the flow is now laminar at  $1000 \text{ s}^{-1}$  as  
14 demonstrated by the low plastic viscosity and positive yield point.

15       Upon consideration of the above data, one of skill in the art should appreciate that  
16 there exists an observable and substantial effect on the rheological properties of the above  
17 fluids caused by the coating of the fine particles by the dispersant agent. That is to say,  
18 the properties and results of the claimed invention are achieved when the particles are  
19 first coated with dispersant and then added to the fluid. This is in contrast to the  
20 properties and results achieved when no dispersant is used or when the dispersant is  
21 simply added to the drilling fluid along with the particles. One of skill in the art of  
22 drilling fluid formulation will appreciate that it is a wide-spread practice within the  
23 industry to simply combine materials into a base fluid to achieve the desired final  
24 formulation. However, as supported by the above data, the coating of a dispersant onto  
25 fine particulate weighting materials prior to addition to the base fluid results in a  
26 substantial and observable difference in rheological properties that are surprising and  
27 unexpected.

## 28       **Example 2**

29       Experiments were conducted to examine the effect of the post addition of the  
30 chosen polymer dispersant to a slurry formulated to include weighting agents of the same

colloidal particle size. A milled barite ( $D_{50} \sim 4\mu\text{m}$ ) and a comminuted calcium carbonate (70% by weight of the particles of less than  $2\mu\text{m}$ ) were selected, both of which are of similar particle size to materials disclosed herein. The slurries were prepared at an equivalent particle volume fraction of 0.282. See table II.

The rheologies were measured at 120° F (49 °C), thereafter an addition of 6 ppb (17.2 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) IDSPERSE XT was made. The rheologies of the subsequent slurries were finally measured at 120°F (see table III) with additional API fluid loss test.

**Table II**

#	Material	Dispersant	Density (ppg)	Volume Fraction	wt/wt
4	New Barite	while grinding	16.0 [1.92 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.282	0.625
5	Milled Barite	none	16.0 [1.92 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.282	0.625
6	Milled Barite	post-addition	16.0 [1.92 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.282	0.625
7	Calcium Carbonate	none	12.4 [1.48 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.282	0.518
8	Calcium Carbonate	post-addition	12.4 [1.48 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.282	0.518

**Table III**

#	Viscosity at various shear rates (rpm of agitation) : Dial reading or "Fann Units" for :						Plastic Viscosity mPa.s	Yield Point lb/100ft <sup>2</sup>	API Fluid Loss
	600 rpm	300 rpm	200 rpm	100 rpm	6 rpm	3 rpm			
4	12	6	4	2			6	0	11
5	os	os	os	os	os	os			
6	12	6	4	2			6	0	total <sup>1</sup>
7	os	os	260	221	88	78			
8	12	6	4	3	1	1	6	0	total <sup>2</sup>

1 - total fluid loss in 26 minutes

2 - total fluid loss in 20 minutes

Upon review of the above data one of skill in the art will note that no filtration control is gained from post addition of the polymer as revealed by the total fluid loss in the API test.

### **Example 3**

This test was carried out to show the feasibility of 24 ppg [2.87 g/cm<sup>3</sup>] slurries (0.577 Volume fraction). Each fluid contained the following components e.g. Fresh Water

135.4 g, Total Barite 861.0g, IDSPERSE XT 18.0 g. The barite component was varied in composition according to the following table.

**Table IV**

#	API grade Barite (%)	Colloidal Barite (%)
9	100	0
10	90	10
11	80	20
12	75	25
13	60	40
14	0	100

**Table V**

#	Viscosity at various shear rates (rpm of agitation) : Dial reading or "Fann Units" for :									Plastic Viscosity	Yield Point
	600	300	200	117	100	59	30	6	3	mPa.s	lb/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)
9	*os	285	157	66	56	26	10	3	2		
10	245	109	67	35	16	13	7	3	2	136	-27 (-13)
11	171	78	50	28	23	10	7	3	2	93	-15 (-7)
12	115	55	36	19	17	8	5	3	2	60	-5 (-2)
13	98	49	34	21	20	14	10	4	3	49	0
14	165	84	58	37	32	22	18	5	3	81	3 (-1.5)

\* os = off-scale

Upon review of the results provided table V one of skill in the art should appreciate that API grade barite, because of its particle size and the high volume fraction required to achieved high mud weights, exhibits dilatancy i.e. high plastic and apparent viscosity and negative yield values.

Further it should be noted that introduction of fine grade materials tends to stabilize the flow regime keep it laminar at higher shear rates: plastic viscosity decreases markedly and yield point changes from negative to positive. In addition it will be noticed that no significant increase in low-shear rate viscosity (@ 3 rpm) is caused by the colloidal barite.

The above results will show to one of skill in the art that the colloidal weight material coated with dispersant as is disclosed herein may advantageously be used in conjunction with conventional API barite.

**Example 4**

An eighteen (18) pound per gallon [ $2.15 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ] slurry of weighting agent according to the claimed subject matter was formulated and subsequently contaminated with a range of common contaminants and hot rolled at  $300^\circ\text{F}$  ( $148.9^\circ\text{C}$ ). The rheological results of before (BHR) and after hot rolling (AHR) are presented below.

**Table VI (New barite)**

	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	YP	Fluid loss
	600	300	200	100	6	3	mPa.s	lb/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	ml
no contaminant BHR	21	11	8	4	1	1	10	1(0.5)	
no contaminant AHR	18	10	7	4	1	1	8	2(1)	5.0
+80ppb NaCl BHR	41	23	16	10	2	1	18	5(2.5)	
+80ppb NaCl AHR	26	14	10	6	1	1	12	2(1)	16
+30ppb OCMA <sup>1</sup> BHR	38	22	15	9	2	1	16	6(3)	
+30ppb OCMA AHR	26	14	10	6	1	1	12	2(1)	6.8
+5ppb Lime BHR	15	7	5	3	1	1	8	-1(-0.5)	
+5ppb Lime AHR	10	5	4	2	1	1	5	0	6.4

<sup>1</sup> OCMA = OCMA clay, a fine particle ball clay commonly used to replicate drilled solids contamination acquired from shale sediments during drilling.

Upon review of the above results one of skill in the art should appreciate that the dispersant coated weight material system shows excellent resistance to contaminants, low controllable rheology and gives fluid loss control under a standard API mud test as shown in following table VI. An equivalent set of fluids were prepared using API conventional barite without the polymer coating as a direct comparison of the two particle types. (Table VII)

**Table VII( Conventional API Barite)**

	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	YP	Fluid loss
	600	300	200	100	6	3	mPa.s	lb/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	ml
no contaminant BHR	22	10	6	3	1	1	12	-2	
no contaminant AHR	40	24	19	11	5	4	16	8	Total <sup>1</sup>
+80ppb NaCl BHR	27	13	10	6	2	1	14	-1	
+80ppb NaCl AHR	25	16	9	8	1	1	9	7	Total <sup>1</sup>
+30ppb OCMA BHR	69	55	49	43	31	26	14	31	
+30ppb OCMA AHR	51	36	31	25	18	16	15	21	Total <sup>2</sup>
+5ppb Lime BHR	26	14	10	6	2	1	12	2	

+5ppb Lime AHR	26	14	10	6	1	1	12	2	Total <sup>1</sup>
----------------	----	----	----	---	---	---	----	---	--------------------

1 - Total fluid loss within 30 seconds

2 - Total fluid loss within 5 minutes.

Upon comparison of the two sets of data, one of skill in the art should appreciate the that the weighting agent according the claimed subject matter has considerable fluid loss control properties when compared to the API barite. Further it will be noted that the API barite also shows sensitivity to drilled solids contamination whereas the new barite system is more tolerant.

### **Example 5**

An experiment was conducted to demonstrate the ability of the new weighting agent to formulate drilling muds with densities above 20 pound per gallon [2.39 g/cm<sup>3</sup>].

Two twenty two pound per gallon[2.63 g/cm<sup>3</sup>].mud systems were formulated, the weighting agents comprised a blend of 35% w/w new barite weighting agent with 65% w/w API grade Barite (Fluid #1) weighting agent and 100% API grade barite (fluid #2), both with 11.5 pound per barrel [32.8 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] STAPLEX 500 (mark of Schlumberger, shale stabiliser), 2 pound per barrel [5.7 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] IDCAP (mark of Schlumberger, shale inhibitor), and 3.5 pound per barrel [10 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] KCl. The other additives provide inhibition to the drilling fluid, but here demonstrate the capacity of the new formulation to cope with any subsequent polymer additions. The fluid was hot rolled to 200 ° F (93.3 °C). Results are provided in table VIII.

**Table VIII**

	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	Yield Point	Fluid loss
	600	300	200	100	6	3			
							mPa.s	lb/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	ml
Before Hot Rolling (#1)	110	58	46	30	9	8	52	6 (2.9)	
After Hot Rolling(#1)	123	70	52	30	9	8	53	17 (8.1)	8.0
Before Hot Rolling (#2)	270	103	55	23	3	2	167	-64 (-32)	
After Hot rolling(#2)	os	177	110	47	7	5			12.0

os : off-scale

Upon review of the above data one of skill it the art should appreciate that the 100% API grade barite has very high plastic viscosity and is in fact turbulent as demonstrated by the negative yield point. Further it will be noticed that after hot rolling the rheology is so high it is off scale.

### **Example 6**



This experiment demonstrates the ability of the new weighting agent in low viscosity fluids (i.e. high fluidity formulations). The weighting agent is 100% colloidal barite according the claimed subject matter. Fluid #15 is a synthetic based drilling fluid (Ultidrill, Mark of Schlumberger, a linear alpha-olefin having 14 to 16 carbon atoms). Fluid #16 is a water-based mud and includes a viscosifier (0.5 ppb IDVIS, Mark of Schlumberger, a pure xanthan gum polymer) and a fluid loss control agent (6.6 ppb IDFLO Mark of Schlumberger). Fluid #15 was hot rolled at 200 °F (93.3 °C), fluid #16 at 250 °F (121.1 °C). After hot rolling results are shown table IX.

**Table IX**

	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	Gels <sup>1</sup>	Yield Point
	600	300	200	100	6	3			
							mPa.s	lbs/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	lbs/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)
#15 : 13.6 ppg [1.63 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	39	27	23	17	6	5	12	7/11	15
#16 : 14 ppg [1.67 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	53	36	27	17	6	5	17	5/-	19

<sup>1</sup> A measure of the gelling and suspending characteristics of the fluid, determined at 10 sec/10 min using a Fann viscosimeter.

Upon review of the above representative test data, one of skill in the art should appreciate that that the new weighting agents disclosed herein provide a way to formulate brine analogues fluids useful for slimhole applications or coiled tubing drilling fluids. Further it will be noted that the rheology profile is improved by the addition of colloidal particles.

**Example 7:**

An experiment was conducted to demonstrate the ability of the new weighting agent to formulate completion fluids, were density control and hence sedimentation stability is a prime factor. The weighting agent is composed of the new colloidal barite according to the claimed subject matter with 50 pound per barrel [142.65 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] standard API grade calcium carbonate which acts as a bridging agent. The 18.6 ppg [2.23 g/cm<sup>3</sup>] fluid was formulated with 2 pound per barrel [5.7 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] PTS 200 (mark of Schlumberger, pH buffer) The static ageing tests were carried out at 400°F (204.4°C) for

72 hours. Upon review of the exemplary results shown in the table below, one of skill in the art will note that before (BSA) and after (ASA) static ageing a good stability to sedimentation and rheological profile can be achieved.

	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	YP	Free water *
	600	300	200	100	6	3	mPa.s	lb/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	ml
18.6ppg BSA	37	21	15	11	2	1	16	5 (2.5)	-
18.6ppg ASA	27	14	11	6	1	1	13	1 (0.5)	6

\*free water is the volume of clear water that appears on top of the fluid. The remainder of the fluid has uniform density.

#### **Example 8:**

This experiment demonstrates the ability of the new weighting agent to formulate low viscosity fluids and show it's tolerance to pH variations. The weighting agent is composed of the new colloidal barite according to the claimed subject matter. The 16ppg [ $1.91 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ] fluid was formulated with caustic soda to adjust the pH to the required level, with the subsequent fluid rheology and API filtration tested.

pH	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	Yield Point	Fluid Loss
	600	300	200	100	6	3	mPa.s	lbs/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	ml
8.01	14	7	5	3			7	0 (0)	8.4
9.03	14	8	5	3			6	2 (1)	8.5
10.04	17	9	6	3			8	1 (0.5)	7.9
10.97	17	9	6	3			8	1 (0.5)	7.9
12.04	19	10	7	4	1	1	9	1 (0.5)	8.1

Upon review of the exemplary results one of skill in the art should concluded that a good stability to pH variation and rheological profile is established in the fluids formulated using the coated weighting agents disclosed herein.

#### **Example 9:**

This experiment demonstrates the ability of the new weighting agent to formulate low rheology high temperature, high pressure stable water base fluids. The weighting agent is composed of the new colloidal barite according to the claimed subject matter, with 10 pounds per barrel [ $28.53 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ] CALOTEMP (mark of Schlumberger, fluid loss

additive) and 1 pound per barrel [2.85 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] PTS 200 (mark of Schlumberger, pH buffer). The 17ppg [2.04 g/m<sup>3</sup>] and 18ppg [2.16 g/cm<sup>3</sup>] fluids were static aged for 72 hours at 250°F (121°C).

Density	PH	Viscosity (Fann Units) at various shear rates (rpm of agitation :						PV	Yield Point	Free Water	Fluid Loss
ppg		600	300	200	100	6	3	mPa.s	lbs/100ft <sup>2</sup> (Pascals)	ml	ml
17	7.4	28	16	11	6	1	1	12	4 (2)	10	3.1
18	7.5	42	23	16	10	1	1	19	4 (2)	6	3.4

Upon review of the above illustrative results, one of skill in the art should appreciate that the fluids formulated in accordance with the present disclosure exhibit good stability to sedimentation and low rheological profile with the subsequent filtration tested.

#### **Example 10:**

The following example demonstrates that an oleaginous based drilling fluid containing the coated solids of the present invention gives better overall performance, with particular benefits in static sag, dynamic sag and fluid loss. Three fluids were formulated the first in accordance with the teachings of the present disclosure, the second with fine grind Norway barite and EMI759 as a dispersant and a third fluid formulated with fine Chinese precipitated barite and EMI759 dispersant.

The following table provides the exemplary results obtained from the particle size analysis using the Malvern Microplus instrument. The measurements were all taken in oil dispersant.

Particle Size Distributions

Barite	D <sub>10</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>90</sub>
Dispersant coated barite	0.31	1.04	3.27
Chinese Precipitated	0.32	1.30	3.01
Norway Fine Grind	0.94	7.86	31.25

One of skill in the art should appreciate that the Norway Fine Grind barite would be considered to be on the fine end of the API standard grind for barite. The fluids were formulated as indicated in the following table. Each fluid was formulated to a density of 13ppg and an oil to water (O/W) ratio of 80/20.

Fluid Formulations

Product	Norway Barite (ppb)	Precipitated Barite (ppb)	Dispersant Coated Barite (ppb)
EDC99	As required	As required	As required
Chinese pptd Barite	-	As required	-
Norway fine Barite	As required	-	-
OBWARP	-	-	As required
Poly-acrylate ester dispersant *	7.5	7.5	-
Fatty acid amide emulsifier	10	10	10
Organoclay thickening agent	3	3	3
Lime	6	6	6
CaCl <sub>2</sub> Brine (25w%)	As required	As required	As required
Gilsonite-base fluid loss control additive	2	2	2

\* Note: The amount added to the drilling fluid is equivalent to the amount of compound coated onto the dispersant coated barite.

The fluids were tested before and after aging at 250°F. The rheologies were measured at 120°F using a Fann 35 and the fluid loss values were measured at 250°F. The dynamic sags were measured on a Fann 35 at 120°F after 30 mins at 100rpm. The static sags were measured after aging the fluid at 250°F for 40 hours. The following table provides illustrative and exemplary data:

1

## Fluid Properties – Dispersant Coated Barite

	Fluid					
	Base		+ 20ppb HMP		+ 10%v/v Seawater	
	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR
600	31	37	39	47	40	44
300	17	20	21	25	22	24
200	12	14	15	18	15	17
100	7	8	9	10	9	10
6	1	1	1	1	1	2
3	1	1	1	1	1	1
Gels		2/4		2/5		2/4
PV	14	17	18	22	18	20
YP	3	3	3	3	4	4
ES		731		810		405
HTHP FL (250°F)		2.2		1.2		1.2
Dynamic Sag Factor		0.501		-		-
Static Sag Factor		0.509		-		-

2

Fluid Properties with Dry Powder Barites Added

	Norway Fine Grind Barite						Chinese Precipitated Barite					
	Base		+ 20ppb HMP		+ 10%v/v Seawater		Base		+ 20ppb HMP		+ 10%v/v Seawater	
	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR
600	36	40	43	46	44	43	36	40	43	60	44	54
300	20	21	23	25	24	23	20	22	24	32	24	30
200	13	14	15	17	16	16	15	16	17	22	17	21
100	7	8	8	10	9	9	8	9	10	12	10	12
6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Gels 10"/10"	-	1/3	-	1/5	-	1/5	-	1/4	-	1/6	-	2/4
PV	16	19	20	21	16	20	16	18	19	28	20	24
YP	4	2	3	4	8	3	4	4	5	4	4	6
ES		830		715		355		802		629		371
HTHP FL 250°F		2.0		3.6		1.8		8.8		12.0		-
Dynamic Sag Factor		0.527		-		-		0.525		-		-
Static Sag Factor		0.718		-		-		0.664				

Upon careful review, one of skill in the art should appreciate that the results demonstrate that each base fluid has similar rheological properties, after clay and seawater contamination however, the fluid formulated with precipitated barite gives a greater increase in PV than the Norway barite and the fluids containing the dispersant coated weight materials of the present disclosure. This increase in plastic viscosity may be due to the 'uncoated' fines present in the fluid. Further such a skilled artisan in comparing the fluid loss properties of the three fluids should see that the fluids containing the coated weighting solids of the present disclosure show the best overall performance, although the Norway barite also gives a similar performance. The precipitated barite fluid however, has a much poorer fluid loss. This is most likely due to the very narrow particle size distribution of the precipitated barite as well as the coating effect of the barite particles being less effective by this process. A skilled person in the art of drilling fluids should note that the most significant difference between the three fluids is in their

1 sag performance. The fluid containing the coated weight materials disclosed herein  
2 demonstrates very good sag properties, both for dynamic and static aged sag. The coarser  
3 Norway fine grind barite gave very poor sag performance, for both the dynamic and static  
4 sag tests. This is perhaps to be expected for an un-optimized fluid using a coarser grind  
5 of barite, but it will also be appreciated that optimization to improve its sag performance  
6 will compromise the low rheological properties of the fluid. The Chinese precipitated  
7 barite has a much finer particle size distribution similar to the solids of the present  
8 disclosure, however its sag performance was also very poor for both the dynamic and the  
9 static sag tests. This may also be due to the ineffective coating of the barite by this  
10 process.

11 Upon further review of the above data one of skill in the art should conclude that  
12 in comparing the three different barites, similar rheologies are achieved despite their  
13 different particle sizes. Further it will be noted that the three base fluids give very similar  
14 rheologies demonstrates the benefits of a fluid formulated using the coated colloidal  
15 particles of the present disclosure. Further it will be noted that fluids containing the  
16 solids of the present disclosure exhibit excellent sag performance and fluid loss results  
17 compared to the other fluids. This logically would lead a skilled person to conclude that  
18 in order to formulate the other fluids to meet similar performance is likely to result in a  
19 much higher rheology fluid.

20 In view of the above disclosure, one of ordinary skill in the art should understand  
21 and appreciate that one illustrative embodiment of the claimed subject matter includes a  
22 wellbore fluid having an oleaginous phase and an additive for increasing the density of  
23 the wellbore fluid. The additive comprises solid colloidal particles coated with a  
24 dispersant. The dispersant is coated onto the colloidal particle during the comminution  
25 process of forming the particles. The illustrative particles have a weight average particle  
26 diameter ( $D_{50}$ ) of less than 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and more preferably a  $D_{50}$  of less than 1.5  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter.  
27 Preferably, the colloidal particles are composed of a material of specific gravity of at least  
28 2.68. Exemplary starting materials for the colloidal particles include many commonly  
29 known weighting agents including barite, calcium carbonate, dolomite, ilmenite, hematite  
30 or other iron ores, olivine, siderite, and strontium sulfate as well as mixture and

1 combinations of these and other similar weighting materials. The dispersant that is  
2 coated onto the particle during the course of grinding is, in one illustrative embodiment,  
3 selected from carboxylic acids of molecular weight of at least 150 Daltons. Alternatively,  
4 the dispersant coating may be made of compounds including oleic acid, polybasic fatty  
5 acids, alkylbenzene sulfonic acids, alkane sulfonic acids, linear alpha-olefin sulfonic acid  
6 or the alkaline earth metal salts of any of the above acids, and phospholipids as well as  
7 mixtures and combinations of these compounds. In another alternative and illustrative  
8 embodiment the dispersant is a polymeric compound, preferably a polyacrylate ester. The  
9 illustrative polymeric dispersant should have an average molecular weight from about  
10 10,000 Daltons to about 200, 000 Daltons and more preferably from about 17,000  
11 Daltons to about 30,000 Daltons.

12 The claimed subject matter also encompasses a method of making an additive for  
13 increasing the density of a fluid. In one illustrative embodiment, the method includes  
14 comminuting a solid material and a dispersant in a liquid medium, so as to produce solid  
15 colloidal particles having a weight average particle diameter (D50) of less than 2  $\mu\text{m}$  that  
16 are coated with the dispersant. The liquid medium is preferably an oleaginous fluid and  
17 more preferably an oleaginous liquid having a kinematic viscosity less than 10 centistokes  
18 (10 mm<sup>2</sup>/s) at 40° C and a flash point of greater than 60 °C. Illustrative examples of such  
19 oleaginous fluids include diesel oil, mineral or white oils, n-alkanes or synthetic oils such  
20 as alpha-olefin oils, ester oils or poly(alpha-olefins) as well as combinations and mixtures  
21 of these an similar fluids. The dispersant that is coated onto the particle during the  
22 course of grinding is, in one illustrative embodiment, selected from carboxylic acids of  
23 molecular weight of at least 150. Alternatively, the dispersant coating may be made of  
24 compounds including oleic acid, polybasic fatty acids, alkylbenzene sulfonic acids, alkane  
25 sulfonic acids, linear alpha-olefin sulfonic acid or the alkaline earth metal salts of any of  
26 the above acids, and phospholipids as well as mixtures and combinations of these  
27 compounds. In another alternative and illustrative embodiment the dispersant is a  
28 polymeric compound, preferably a polyacrylate ester. Optimally the illustrative  
29 dispersant is made of stearyl methacrylate, butylacrylate and acrylic acid monomers. The  
30 illustrative polymeric dispersant should have an average molecular weight from about



1 10,000 Daltons to about 200, 000 Daltons and more preferably from about 17,000  
2 Daltons to about 30,000 Daltons. The solid material may be selected from a wide variety  
3 of known weighting materials and in one illustrative embodiment the solid material is  
4 selected from the group consisting of barite, calcium carbonate, dolomite, ilmenite,  
5 hematite or other iron ores, olivine, siderite, and strontium sulfate, mixtures and  
6 combinations of these and similar weighting materials that should be known to one of  
7 skill in the art. In one preferred illustrative embodiment, the comminuting of the solid  
8 material and the dispersant in the liquid medium is carried out in an agitated fluidized bed  
9 of a particulate grinding material.

10 While the apparatus, compositions and methods of this invention have been  
11 described in terms of preferred or illustrative embodiments, it will be apparent to those of  
12 skill in the art that variations may be applied to the process described herein without  
13 departing from the concept and scope of the invention. All such similar substitutes and  
14 modifications apparent to those skilled in the art are deemed to be within the scope and  
15 concept of the invention as it is set out in the following claims.

16